

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/553,993	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LACROIX-DESMAZES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> YEVEGENY VALENROD	<b>Art Unit</b> 1621	

**All Participants:**

(1) YEVEGENY VALENROD.

(2) Richard Treanor.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 January 2010

**Time:** 11am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112 1<sup>st</sup> scope of enablement*

Claims discussed:

*45, 46, 17*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed an amendment to the claims that would place the application in condition for allowance. The language of the amendment was agreed upon.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Yevgeny Valenrod/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1621

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)